

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/086,373 | Applicant(s) CLARK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Alan Diamond | Art Unit 1753 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: rejected

 (1) Alan Diamond.

(3) _____.

 (2) Dan Cleveland.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: March 9 and 11, 2004
Time: 11:30 a.m., 11:45 a.m., respectively
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 24, 32-35, 44, 46, and 48

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

On March 9, 2004, the Examiner proposed to amend claims 1, 24, 33-35, 44, 46, and 48, and cancel claim 32, as is set forth in the Examiner's Amendment. On March 11, 2004, applicant agreed to these changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)